

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,544	08/05/2003	Robert F. Burkholder	PTG 02-82-2	6044
23531 75	590 10/28/2005		EXAMINER	
SUITER WEST SWANTZ PC LLO			BALSIS, SHAY L	
14301 FNB PA SUITE 220	RKWAY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
OMAHA, NE	68154		1744	
			DATE MAIL ED. 10/29/2004	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			K				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/634,544	BURKHOLDER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Shay L. Balsis	1744					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAL. 136(a). In no event, however, may a rep d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH ate, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. ly be timely filed  IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	September 2005.						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th							
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-13,15,17-24 and 26-35</u> is/are pen	ding in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	/or alaction requirement						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 August 2003</u> is/are							
Applicant may not request that any objection to th							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority docume							
2. Certified copies of the priority docume							
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure</li> </ol>		eceived in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	•	eceived					
Occ the attached detailed office determine a fix							
Attachment(s)  1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date					
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	8) 5) ☐ Notice of Info 6) ☐ Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
. 45. 110(0)/11011 5 410		·					

Art Unit: 1744

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 6-9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 31, 33-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by James (USPN 3138815).

James teaches a cleaner comprising a rotating hand held cleaning head assembly (10) for engaging a surface and directing water onto the surface (claims 1 and 31). The cleaning head comprises a splash guard (12) (claim 6). There is an electric motor drive assembly (34) coupled with the hand held cleaning head to provide a driving force to the head (claims 1, 8, 31 and 34). A flexible drive cable transmission assembly (24) couples the hand held cleaning head assembly and the drive assembly (claims 1, 9, 31 and 35). There is a water attachment hose assembly (50) coupled with the hand held cleaning head to provide water to the head (claims 1, 7 and 31). The water is provided from a water source such as a storage container (48) (claims 1 and 11). The motor provides the water from the water source to the cleaning head (claims 13 and 35). The motor is coupled to a transport assembly such as a dolly transport assembly (36) (claims 1 and 15). The wheeled transport assembly comprises a hollowed area that could be used for necessary storage of the water source or the motor (claim 17). There is a hanger (40) coupled to the

Art Unit: 1744

transport assembly, wherein the transmission assembly is capable of being coiled and hung on the hanger for storage (claims 1 and 31).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-4, 7-9, 11, 13, 15, 17 and 31-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor (USPN 4825496) in view of Farina (USPN 6598262).

Taylor teaches a cleaner comprising a rotating hand held cleaning head assembly (59, 66, 83) for engaging a surface and directing water onto the surface (claims 1, 4 and 31). The cleaning head comprises a handle (57) coupled with the head (claims 2 and 32). The cleaning head is a right angle cleaning head (claims 3 and 32). There is an electric motor drive assembly (37) coupled with the hand held cleaning head to provide a driving force to the head (claims 1, 8, 31, and 34). A flexible drive cable transmission assembly (61) couples the hand held cleaning head assembly and the drive assembly (claims 1, 9, 31 and 35). There is a water attachment hose assembly (65) coupled with the hand held cleaning head to provide water to the head (claims 1, 7) and 31). The water is provided from a water source such as a storage container (32) (claims 1 and 11). The motor provides the water from the water source to the cleaning head (claims 13 and 35). The motor is coupled to a transport assembly such as a dolly transport assembly (11, 12) (claims 1 and 15). The wheeled transport assembly comprises a hollowed area (22) that could be used for necessary storage (claim 17). Taylor teaches all the essential elements of the claimed invention however fails to teach a hanger coupled with the transport assembly. Farina teaches a high pressure cleaner comprising a pressure line (46) and electrical cord (44). The

Art Unit: 1744

cords are connected to a transport assembly (figure 3). The transport assembly comprises a hanging means (48) for storing the pressure line and the electrical cord when not in use.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the handle of Taylor to add a hook means as taught by Farina so that the transmission assembly can be coiled and stored when not in use.

Claims 1-3, 7-9, 11, 15, 17 and 31-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cutler (USPN 4825496) in view of Farina (USPN 6598262).

Cutler teaches a cleaner comprising a hand held cleaning head assembly (48) for engaging a surface and directing water onto the surface (claims 1 and 31). The cleaning head comprises a handle coupled with the head (claims 2 and 32). The cleaning head is a right angle cleaning head (claims 3 and 32). There is an electric motor drive assembly coupled with the hand held cleaning head to provide a driving force to the head (claims 1, 8, 31 and 34). A flexible drive cable transmission assembly (72) couples the hand held cleaning head assembly and the drive assembly (claims 1, 9, 31 and 35). There is a water attachment hose assembly (62, 64) coupled with the hand held cleaning head to provide water to the head (claims 1, 7 and 31). The water is provided from a water source such as a storage container (34) (claims 1 and 11). The motor provides the water from the water source to the cleaning head (claims 13 and 35). The cleaner assembly is coupled to a transport assembly such as a dolly transport assembly (12) (claims 1 and 15). The wheeled transport assembly comprises a hollowed area (30) that could be used for necessary storage (claim 17). Cutler teaches all the essential elements of the claimed invention however fails to teach a hanger coupled with the transport assembly. Farina teaches a high pressure cleaner comprising a pressure line (46) and electrical cord (44). The cords are

Art Unit: 1744

connected to a transport assembly (figure 3). The transport assembly comprises a hanging means (48) for storing the pressure line and the electrical cord when not in use. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the handle of Cutler to add a hook means as taught by Farina so that the transmission assembly can be coiled and stored when not in use (Cutler, figure 2).

Claims 18-19, 21-22, 24, 26-27, 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over James, Jr. (USPN 3138815) in view of Farina (USPN 6598262) and further in view of Walker et al. (USPN 5156191).

James teaches a cleaner comprising a rotating hand held cleaning head assembly (10) for engaging a surface and directing water onto the surface (claims 18 and 19). The cleaning head comprises a handle (14) coupled with the head (claim 18). The cleaning head is a right angle cleaning head with a splash guard (12) (claims 18 and 21). There is an electric motor drive assembly (34) coupled with the hand held cleaning head to provide a driving force to the head (claim 18). A flexible drive cable transmission assembly (24) couples the hand held cleaning head assembly and the drive assembly (claims 18 and 24). There is a water attachment hose assembly (50) coupled with the hand held cleaning head to provide water to the head (claims 18 and 29). The water is provided from a water source such as a storage container (48) (claims 18 and 26). The motor provides the water from the water source to the cleaning head (claims 18 and 22). The motor is coupled to a transport assembly such as a dolly transport assembly (36) (claims 18 and 26). The wheeled transport assembly comprises a hollowed area that could be used for necessary storage of the water source or the motor (claim 30). James teaches all the

Art Unit: 1744

essential elements of the claimed invention however fails to teach a hanger coupled with the transport assembly and a strain reliever on the flex drive cable.

Farina teaches a high pressure cleaner comprising a pressure line (46) and electrical cord (44). The cords are connected to a transport assembly (figure 3). The transport assembly comprises a hanging means (48) for storing the pressure line and the electrical cord when not in use. Walker teaches a hose assembly comprises a strain reliever assembly. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the handle of James to add a hook means as taught by Farina so that the transmission assembly can be coiled and stored when not in use and additionally, it would have been obvious to use a strain reliever assembly as taught by Walker on James so that bending of the cable is minimized.

Claims 18-19, 22, 26-27, 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor (USPN 4825496) in view of Farina (USPN 6598262) and further in view of Walker et al. (USPN 5156191).

Taylor teaches a cleaner comprising a rotating hand held cleaning head assembly (59, 66, 83) for engaging a surface and directing water onto the surface (claims 18 and 19). The cleaning head comprises a handle (57) coupled with the head (claim 18). The cleaning head is a right angle cleaning head (claim 18). There is an electric motor drive assembly (37) coupled with the hand held cleaning head to provide a driving force to the head (claim 18). A flexible drive cable transmission assembly (61) couples the hand held cleaning head assembly and the drive assembly (claim 18). There is a water attachment hose assembly (65) coupled with the hand held cleaning head to provide water to the head (claims 18 and 29). The water is provided from a water source such as a storage container (32) (claims 18 and 27). The motor provides the water

Art Unit: 1744

from the water source to the cleaning head (claims 18 and 22). The motor is coupled to a transport assembly such as a dolly transport assembly (11, 12) (claims 18 and 26). The wheeled transport assembly comprises a hollowed area (22) that could be used for necessary storage (claim 30). Taylor teaches all the essential elements of the claimed invention however fails to teach a hanger coupled with the transport assembly and a strain reliever on the flex drive cable.

Farina teaches a high pressure cleaner comprising a pressure line (46) and electrical cord (44). The cords are connected to a transport assembly (figure 3). The transport assembly comprises a hanging means (48) for storing the pressure line and the electrical cord when not in use. Walker teaches a hose assembly comprises a strain reliever assembly. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the handle of Taylor to add a hook means as taught by Farina so that the transmission assembly can be coiled and stored when not in use and additionally, it would have been obvious to use a strain reliever assembly as taught by Walker on Taylor so that bending of the cable is minimized.

Claims 18, 22, 24, 26-27, 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cutler (USPN 4825496) in view of Strickland (USPN 3988799) and further in view of Walker et al. (USPN 5156191).

Cutler teaches a cleaner comprising a hand held cleaning head assembly (48) for engaging a surface and directing water onto the surface (claim 18). The cleaning head comprises a handle coupled with the head (claim 18). The cleaning head is a right angle cleaning head (claim 18). There is an electric motor drive assembly coupled with the hand held cleaning head to provide a driving force to the head (18). A flexible drive cable transmission assembly (72) couples the hand held cleaning head assembly and the drive assembly (claims 18 and 24). There

Art Unit: 1744

is a water attachment hose assembly (62, 64) coupled with the hand held cleaning head to provide water to the head (claim 18). The water is provided from a water source such as a storage container (34) (claims 18 and 27). The motor provides the water from the water source to the cleaning head (claims 18 and 22). The cleaner assembly is coupled to a transport assembly such as a dolly transport assembly (12) (claims 18 and 26). The wheeled transport assembly comprises a hollowed area (30) that could be used for necessary storage (claim 30). Cutler teaches all the essential elements of the claimed invention however fails to teach a hanger coupled with the transport assembly and a strain reliever on the flex drive cable.

Farina teaches a high pressure cleaner comprising a pressure line (46) and electrical cord (44). The cords are connected to a transport assembly (figure 3). The transport assembly comprises a hanging means (48) for storing the pressure line and the electrical cord when not in use. Walker teaches a hose assembly comprises a strain reliever assembly. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the handle of Cutler to add a hook means as taught by Farina so that the transmission assembly can be coiled and stored when not in use and additionally, it would have been obvious to use a strain reliever assembly as taught by Walker on Cutler so that bending of the cable is minimized.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over James or Taylor in view of Farina as applied to claim 1 above and both further in view of Cramer (USPN 689464).

James or Taylor in view of Farina teach all the essential elements of the claimed invention however fail to teach that the rotating cleaning head is an orbital rotating cleaning head. Cramer teaches a brush comprising an orbital rotating cleaning head. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the

Art Unit: 1744

cleaning head of James or Taylor in view of Farina with the orbital cleaning head of Cramer so as to increase the versatility of the cleaning apparatus.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor in view of Farina or Cutler in view of Farina as applied to claim 1 above, both further in view of James.

Taylor in view of Farina or Cutler in view of Farina teach all the essential elements of the claimed invention however the references fail to teach a splash guard. James teaches a rotating brush head that comprises a splash guard. It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a splash guard on all the brush heads so as to avoid splashing of water or cleaning solution when in use and to avoid water or cleaning solution in the users face or on their clothes or other places that the water or cleaning solution was not intended to touch.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor in view of Farina or Cutler in view Farina or James in view of Farina as applied to claim 9 above, all further in view of Walker et al. (USPN 5156191).

Taylor in view of Farina, Cutler in view of Farina or James in view of Farina teach all the essential elements of the claimed invention however the references fail to teach that the flex drive cable includes a strain reliever assembly. Walker teaches a hose assembly comprises a strain reliever assembly. It would have been obvious to use a strain reliever assembly as taught by Walker on Taylor in view of Farina, Cutler in view of Farina or James in view of Farina's drive cable so that bending of the cable is minimized.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor in view of Farina or Cutler in view of Farina or James in view of Farina.

Art Unit: 1744

Taylor in view of Farina, Cutler in view of Farina or James in view of Farina teach all the essential elements of the claimed invention however the references fail to teach that the water source comprises a plurality of water storage containers. The references all teach one water storage container. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a plurality of water storage containers so that the containers don't have to be refilled as often. Additionally, duplicating a part for a multiple effect is an examiner of a modification that has been considered to be within the level of ordinary skill in the art to follow. 124 USPQ 378, 380 (CCPA 1960).

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor in view of Farina and Walker or James in view of Farina and Walker, as applied to claim 18 above and both further in view of Cramer (USPN 689464).

Taylor in view of Farina and Walker or James in view of Farina and Walker teach all the essential elements of the claimed invention however fail to teach that the rotating cleaning head is an orbital rotating cleaning head. Cramer teaches a brush comprising an orbital rotating cleaning head. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the cleaning head of Taylor in view of Farina and Walker or James in view of Farina and Walker with the orbital cleaning head of Cramer so as to increase the versatility of the cleaning apparatus.

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor in view of Farina and Walker or Cutler in view of Farina and Walker or James in view of Farina and Walker.

Page 11

Application/Control Number: 10/634,544

Art Unit: 1744

Taylor in view of Farina and Walker, Cutler in view of Farina and Walker or James in view of Farina and Walker teach all the essential elements of the claimed invention however the references fail to teach that the electric motor is either a generator assembly or a pneumatic motor assembly. A generator or pneumatic motor are equivalent structure known in the art. Therefore, because these two types of electric motors were art-recognized equivalents at the time of the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute the motors of Taylor in view of Farina and Walker, Cutler in view of Farina and Walker or James in view of Farina and Walker for a generator assembly or a pneumatic motor assembly.

Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor in view of Farina and Walker or Cutler in view of Farina and Walker or James in view of Farina and Walker.

Taylor in view of Farina and Walker, Cutler in view of Farina and Walker or James in view of Farina and Walker teach all the essential elements of the claimed invention however the references fail to teach that the water source comprises a plurality of water storage containers. The references all teach one water storage container. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a plurality of water storage containers so that the containers don't have to be refilled as often. Additionally, duplicating a part for a multiple effect is an examiner of a modification that has been considered to be within the level of ordinary skill in the art to follow. 124 USPQ 378, 380 (CCPA 1960).

Application/Control Number: 10/634,544 Page 12

Art Unit: 1744

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shay L. Balsis whose telephone number is 571-272-1268. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00 M-Th, alternating F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Kim can be reached on 571-272-1142. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Slb 10/13/05

MARK SPISICH
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 3400

Mail Spring

1700